MOLECULES ASSOCIATED TO HARDY SPACES WITH POINTWISE VARIABLE ANISOTROPY

VÍCTOR ALMEIDA[1], JORGE J. BETANCOR[1], AND LOURDES RODRÍGUEZ-MESA[1]

Abstract. In this paper we introduce molecules associated to Hardy spaces with pointwise variable anisotropy, and prove that each molecule can be represented as a sum of atoms.

arXiv:1606.07245v1 [math.CA] 23 Jun 2016

1. Introduction

Bownik [3] introduced and investigated anisotropic Hardy spaces associated with expansive matrices. Bownik's anisotropic Hardy spaces have been also studied in [1], [2], [5], [14], [21] and [22], amongst others.

Recently, Dekel, Petrushev and Weissblat [10] generalized Bownik's spaces by constructing Hardy spaces $H^p(\Theta)$, $0 < p \leq 1$, associated with ellipsoid multilevel covers Θ. The anisotropy in Bownik's spaces is the same one in each point in $\mathbb{R}^n$, while anisotropy in $H^p(\Theta)$ can change rapidly from point to point in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and in depth from level to level. The ellipsoid covers Θ induce quasidistances on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and spaces of homogeneous type arise when on $\mathbb{R}^n$ we consider those quasidistances and the Lebesgue measure. Hardy spaces on spaces of homogeneous type have been studied by many authors (see, for instance, [6], [7], [12], [13] and [17]). In the general setting of spaces of homogeneous type the Hardy space H^p has not sufficient structure when p is close to zero. However, anisotropic Hardy spaces defined in [3] and the more general case one introduced in [10] cover the full range $0 < p \leq 1$.

In [10], after defining Hardy spaces $H^p(\Theta)$ by using maximal functions, atomic characterizations of the distributions in $H^p(\Theta)$ are established. The dual spaces of $H^p(\Theta)$ is described as a Campanato type space in [11]. Our objective in this paper is to introduce molecules associated with covers Θ showing that they can be represented as a sum of atoms in $H^p(\Theta)$. As it is well-known, molecular representations play an important role to study the boundedness of operators in Hardy spaces.

We now recall definitions and main results about variable anisotropy and Hardy spaces in this setting that can be found in [8] and [10].

By B we denote the Euclidean unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$. By an affine transformation A in $\mathbb{R}^n$ we mean that one having the form $Ax = Mx + v$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, where M is a nonsingular $n \times n$ matrix and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The set $\theta = A(B)$ is named the ellipsoid associated with A, and $v = A(0)$ is said to be the center of θ.

Next we define continuous multilevel ellipsoid covers of $\mathbb{R}^n$. For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, Θ_t is a set of ellipsoids (of t-level) satisfying the following conditions:

$(C1)$ For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ there exist a unique ellipsoid $\theta(x,t) \in \Theta_t$ and an affine transform $A_{x,t}$ defined by $A_{x,t}(y) = M_{x,t}y + x$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, such that $\theta(x,t) = A_{x,t}(B)$ and

$$a_1 2^{-t} \leq |\theta(x,t)| \leq a_2 2^{-t}.$$

$(C2)$ For every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $s \geq 0$, if $\theta(x,t) \cap \theta(y,t+s) \neq \emptyset$, then

$$a_3 2^{-a_4 s} \leq \|M_{y,t+s}^{-1} M_{x,t}\|^{-1} \leq \|M_{x,t}^{-1} M_{y,t+s}\| \leq a_5 2^{-a_6 s}.$$

Date: Friday 24th June, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 42B30. Secondary:42C40. 41A63 .

Key words and phrases. Pointwise variable anisotropy, Hardy spaces, molecules.

This paper is partially supported by MTM2013-44357-P.

We say that the set $\Theta = \cup_{t\in\mathbb{R}}\Theta_t$ is a continuous multilevel ellipsoid cover of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Note that the set of parameters, which is denoted by $p(\Theta) = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6\}$, does not depend on $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

A careful reading of the proof of [10, Lemma 2.2] and minor modifications in it, allow us to establish the following useful property.

Proposition 1.1. *Assume that Θ is a continuous multilevel ellipsoid cover of $\mathbb{R}^n$. There exists $J \geq (\log_2 a_5)/a_6$ such that, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $s \geq J$,*

$$\theta(x,t) \subset \theta(x,t-s).$$

A quasidistance on a set X is a mapping $\rho : X \times X \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$ satisfying the following conditions:
(a) $\rho(x,y) = 0 \iff x = y$;
(b) $\rho(x,y) = \rho(y,x)$, $x, y \in X$;
(c) for some $K \geq 1$, $\rho(x,y) \leq K(\rho(x,z) + \rho(z,y))$, $x, y, z \in X$.
The ellipsoid cover Θ induces a quasidistance ρ_Θ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined as follows:

$$\rho_\Theta(x,y) = \inf\Big\{|\theta| : \theta \in \Theta \text{ and } x, y \in \theta\Big\}, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

As usual $S(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denotes the Schwartz function space on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ represents its dual space.

If $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, we write $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + ... + \alpha_n$. Suppose that $N, \widetilde{N} \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \leq \widetilde{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|\alpha| \leq N$, and $\psi \in C^N(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We define

$$\|\psi\|_{\alpha,\widetilde{N}} = \sup_{y\in\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|y|)^{\widetilde{N}} |\partial^\alpha \psi(y)|,$$

where $\partial^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1}...\partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}$, and

$$\|\psi\|_{N,\widetilde{N}} = \max_{|\alpha|\leq N} \|\psi\|_{\alpha,\widetilde{N}}.$$

Also we consider

$$S_{N,\widetilde{N}} = \Big\{\psi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n) : \|\psi\|_{N,\widetilde{N}} \leq 1\Big\}.$$

Let Θ be a continuous multilevel ellipsoid cover of $\mathbb{R}^n$, where $\theta(x,t) = x + M_{x,t}(B)$, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We denote by $\psi_{x,t}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the function given by

$$\psi_{x,t}(y) = |\det(M_{x,t}^{-1})| \psi(M_{x,t}^{-1}(x-y)), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

If $g \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\psi \in S(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the radial maximal function $M_\psi(g)$ of g associated with Θ is defined by

$$M_\psi(g)(x) = \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} |\langle g(y), \psi_{x,t}(y)\rangle|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and for every $0 < N \leq \widetilde{N}$, $N, \widetilde{N} \in \mathbb{N}$, the radial grandmaximal function $M_{N,\widetilde{N}}(g)$ of g associated with Θ is given as follows:

$$M_{N,\widetilde{N}}(g)(x) = \sup_{\psi\in S_{N,\widetilde{N}}} M_\psi(g)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Given the set of parameters $p(\Theta)$, for every $0 < p \leq 1$, we define

$$N_p(\Theta) = \min\Big\{m \in \mathbb{N} : m > (\max\{1, a_4\}n + 1)/(a_6 p)\Big\},$$

and

$$\widetilde{N_p}(\Theta) = \min\Big\{m \in \mathbb{N} : m > (a_4 N_p(\Theta) + 1)/a_6\Big\}.$$

Let $0 < p \leq 1$. The Hardy space $H^p(\Theta)$ associated with Θ consists of all those distributions $g \in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $M_{N_p,\widetilde{N_p}}(g) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. $H^p(\Theta)$ is endowed with the quasinorm $\|\cdot\|_{H^p(\Theta)}$ defined by

$$\|g\|_{H^p(\Theta)} = \|M_{N_p,\widetilde{N_p}}(g)\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad g \in H^p(\Theta).$$

In [10, Section 4] Hardy spaces $H^p(\Theta)$ were characterized by using atomic decompositions.

Let the triplet (p,q,m) admissible for Θ, that is, $0<p\leq 1$, $1\leq q\leq\infty$, $p<q$, $m\in\mathbb{N}$ and $m\geq N_p(\Theta)$. A measurable function $\mathfrak{a}$ on $\mathbb{R}^n$ is a (p,q,m)-atom associated with $x\in\mathbb{R}^n$ and $t\in\mathbb{R}$, when the following properties are satisfied:

(i) $\operatorname{supp}(\mathfrak{a})\subset\theta(x,t)\in\Theta$.

(ii) $\|\mathfrak{a}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\leq|\theta(x,t)|^{1/q-1/p}$, where $1/q$ is understood as 0 when $q=\infty$.

(iii) $\int\mathfrak{a}(y)y^\alpha dy=0$, when $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n$ and $|\alpha|\leq m$. Here, if $\alpha=(\alpha_1,...,\alpha_n)\in\mathbb{N}^n$ and $y=(y_1,...,y_n)\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $y^\alpha=y_1^{\alpha_1}...y_n^{\alpha_n}$.

If (p,q,m) is admissible for Θ, the atomic Hardy space $H^p_{q,m}(\Theta)$ consists of all those distributions $g\in S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that can be written as $g=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\lambda_j\mathfrak{a}_j$, where the series converges in $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\{\mathfrak{a}_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of (p,q,m)-atoms and $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of positive numbers such that $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\lambda_j^p<\infty$. On $H^p_{q,m}(\Theta)$ we consider the quasinorm $\|\cdot\|_{H^p_{q,m}(\Theta)}$ defined by

$$\|g\|_{H^p_{q,m}}(\Theta)=\inf\Big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\lambda_j^p\Big)^{1/p},$$

where the infimum is taken over all the sequences $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers such that $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\lambda_j^p<\infty$ and $g=\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\lambda_j\mathfrak{a}_j$, in the sense of convergence in $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for a certain sequence $\{\mathfrak{a}_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of (p,q,m)-atoms.

In [10, Section 4] it was proved that $H^p(\Theta)=H^p_{q,m}(\Theta)$ where the equality is understood algebraic and topologically.

In order to establish that an operator (for instance, a singular integral operator) is bounded from a Hardy space into a Lebesgue space, many times it is sufficient to use the atomic characterization of the Hardy space (see for instance [4] and [5]). However, in general, it is not true that if T is a bounded operator from a Hardy space H^p into L^p (you can think T being the Hilbert transform on $\mathbb{R}$) and $\mathfrak{a}$ is an atom in H^p, then $T\mathfrak{a}$ is a finite linear combination of atoms in H^p. Then, in order to prove the boundedness of an operator between Hardy spaces, a class of functions larger than atoms is needed. These other functions are called molecules and suitably controlled infinite linear combination of molecules are in the considered Hardy space.

Taibleson and Weiss ([19] and [20]) established the first results about molecular decomposition for distributions in Hardy spaces. For weighted Hardy spaces, molecular characterizations were obtain in [15] and [16]. Recently, molecular representations of distributions in the Bownik anisotropic Hardy spaces have been established ([21], in the unweighted case, and [22], in the weighted case).

We now introduce molecules in our particular anisotropic setting.

Let Θ be a continuous multilevel ellipsoid cover of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $p(\Theta)$ the associated set of parameters. Consider $0<p\leq 1\leq q$, $p<q$, $m\in\mathbb{N}$, and

$$d>\max\Big\{a_4\big(m+n-\frac{n}{q}\big),\frac{a_4}{a_6}\big(1-\frac{1}{q}+ma_4\big),\frac{a_4}{a_6}\big(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}+m(a_4-a_6)\big)\Big\}.$$

We say that a function $b\in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a (p,q,m,d)-molecule centered in $x_0\in\mathbb{R}^n$ when the following properties hold:

$(M1)$ $\int b(x)x^\alpha dx=0$, for every $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n$, $|\alpha|\leq m$.

$(M2)$ $b\rho(x_0,\cdot)^d\in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For such functions b, we define the (p,q,m,d)-molecular "norm" $M_{p,q,m,d}(b)$ by

$$M_{p,q,m,d}(b)=\big\|b\rho(x_0,\cdot)^d\big\|^\sigma_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big(\|b\|^{(1-\sigma)\alpha_1}_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}+\|b\|^{(1-\sigma)\alpha_2}_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big),$$

where

$$\sigma=\frac{1}{d}\Big(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q}\Big),$$
$$\alpha_1=\frac{1}{d(1-\sigma)}\Big(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{da_6}{a_4}-m(a_4-a_6)\Big),$$
$$\alpha_2=\frac{1}{d(1-\sigma)}\Big(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{p}+\frac{da_4}{a_6}+m(a_4-a_6)\Big).$$

Note that in the isotropic case $a_4 = a_6 = 1$, and then, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$. In this case our molecules and the molecular "norm" are the classical ones.

In order to describe our molecules as suitable sums of multiple of atoms in $H^p(\Theta)$, we need that the ellipsoids of zero-level are equivalent in shape. We say that a continuous multilevel ellipsoid cover of $\mathbb{R}^n$ is quasi zero uniform, when, there exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$c_1 \leq \left\| M_\theta^{-1} M_{\theta'} \right\| \leq c_2, \quad \theta, \theta' \in \Theta_0.$$

Here M_θ and $M_{\theta'}$ have the obvious mean. Quasi zero uniform covers of $\mathbb{R}^n$ were also considered in [9].

Our main result which will be proved in next section is the following.

Theorem 1.2. *Let Θ be a quasi zero uniform continuous multilevel ellipsoid cover of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Assume that (p, q, m) is admissible for Θ and that*

$$d > \max\Big\{a_4\big(m + n - \frac{n}{q}\big), \frac{a_4}{a_6}\big(1 - \frac{1}{q} + ma_4\big), \frac{a_4}{a_6}\big(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} + m(a_4 - a_6)\big)\Big\}.$$

Then, for every (p, q, m, d)-molecule b, there exist a sequence $\{\mathfrak{a}_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ of (p, q, m)-atoms and a sequence $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset (0, \infty)$ with $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_j^p \leq C M_{p,q,m,d}(b)$, where $C > 0$ does not depend on b, verifying that

$$b = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_j \mathfrak{a}_j, \quad \text{in } S'(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.2 and the fact that $H^p_{q,m}(\Theta) \subset H^p(\Theta)$ we obtain the following result.

Corollary 1.3. *Let Θ be a quasi zero uniform continuous multilevel ellipsoid cover of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let (p, q, m) be admissible for Θ. Assume that*

$$d > \max\Big\{a_4\big(m + n - \frac{n}{q}\big), \frac{a_4}{a_6}\big(1 - \frac{1}{q} + ma_4\big), \frac{a_4}{a_6}\big(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} + m(a_4 - a_6)\big)\Big\}.$$

and that $(b_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of (p, q, m, d)-molecules such that, for a certain $C > 0$, $M_{p,q,m,d}(b_j) \leq C$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and that $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of complex number satisfying that $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} |\lambda_j|^p < \infty$. Then, the series $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_j b_j$ converges in $H^p(\Theta)$ and $\| \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_j b_j \|_{H^p(\Theta)} \leq C(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} |\lambda_j|^p)^{1/p}$.

Throughout this paper by C we always denote a positive constant that can change in each ocurrence.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let us denote by λ and $\widetilde{\lambda}$ the functions on $\mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\lambda(t) = \begin{cases} a_6 t, & t \geq 0, \\ a_4 t, & t < 0, \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\lambda}(t) = \begin{cases} a_4 t, & t \geq 0, \\ a_6 t, & t < 0. \end{cases}$$

The following results concerning quasi zero uniform continuous multilevel ellipsoid covers of $\mathbb{R}^n$ will be very useful.

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that Θ is a quasi zero uniform continuous multilevel ellipsoid cover of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\theta(x_0, t_0) \in \Theta$. Then, there exists $C > 0$ such that*

(a) $|z - x| \leq C 2^{-\lambda(t_0)}$, $z, x \in \theta(x_0, t_0)$, and

(b) $|z - x_0| \geq C 2^{-\widetilde{\lambda}(t_0)}$, $z \notin \theta(x_0, t_0)$.

Proof. As in [9], if Ω is a convex bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ we denote by $\mathrm{width}(\Omega)$ the diameter of the largest n-dimensional Euclidean ball that is contained in Ω.

In the proof of [9, Theorem 4.8] it was established that if $\theta \in \Theta_t$ with $t \geq 0$, then

$$\frac{2^{-t a_4}}{C} \leq \mathrm{width}(\theta) \leq \mathrm{diam}(\theta) \leq C 2^{-t a_6},$$

for a certain $C > 0$.

Suppose now that $\theta \in \Theta_t$ with $t < 0$. We choose $\theta' \in \Theta_0$ such that $\theta' \cap \theta \neq \emptyset$. According to the property $(C2)$ and by using that Θ is quasi zero uniform we deduce that, for certain $C > 0$,

$$\operatorname{diam}(\theta) \leq 2\|M_\theta\| = 2\|M_{\theta'} M_{\theta'}^{-1} M_\theta\| \leq 2\|M_{\theta'}\|\|M_{\theta'}^{-1} M_\theta\| \leq C 2^{-a_4 t},$$

and

$$\operatorname{width}(\theta) \geq \frac{1}{2}\|M_\theta^{-1}\|^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}\|M_\theta^{-1} M_{\theta'} M_{\theta'}^{-1}\|^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{2}\|M_\theta^{-1} M_{\theta'}\|^{-1}\|M_{\theta'}^{-1}\|^{-1} \geq \frac{2^{-a_6 t}}{C}.$$

In order to see (a) it is sufficient to note that $|z - x| \leq \operatorname{diam}(\theta(x_0, t_0))$, provided that $z, x \in \theta(x_0, t_0)$. Property (b) follows because $|z - x_0| \geq \operatorname{width}(\theta(x_0, t_0))$ when $z \notin \theta(x_0, t_0)$. □

Proposition 2.2. *Assume that Θ is a quasi zero uniform continuous multilevel ellipsoid cover of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Then, there exists $C > 0$ such that, for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$\|M_{x,t}\| \leq C 2^{-\lambda(t)} \quad \text{and} \quad \|M_{x,t}^{-1}\| \leq C 2^{\widetilde{\lambda}(t)}.$$

Proof. These results can be proved by using the property $(C2)$ and that Θ is quasi zero uniform. □

An immediate consequence of Proposition 2.1 is the following corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *Let Θ be a quasi zero uniform continuous multilevel ellipsoid cover of $\mathbb{R}^n$. There exists $c_0 > 1$ such that*

$$\frac{2^{-\widetilde{\lambda}(s)}}{c_0} \leq |z - x| \leq c_0 2^{-\lambda(t)}, \tag{1}$$

and

$$\rho(x, z) \geq a_1 2^{-\lambda^{-1}(2\log_2 c_0 + \widetilde{\lambda}(s))}, \tag{2}$$

for every $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ verifying that $z, x \in \theta(y, t)$ and $z \notin \theta(x, s)$.

Proof. Estimation (1) follows directly from Proposition 2.1. To prove inequality (2), let $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ such $z \notin \theta(x, s)$. It is sufficient to use condition (C1) and to note that inequalities in (1) lead to $2^{-\widetilde{\lambda}(s)} \leq c_0^2 2^{-\lambda(t)}$, that is,

$$t \leq \lambda^{-1}(2\log_2 c_0 + \widetilde{\lambda}(s)),$$

for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for which there exists an ellipsoid $\theta \in \Theta_t$ such that $x, z \in \theta$. □

Next we establish a useful property about integrability for the molecules.

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose that b is a (p, q, m, d)-molecule. Then,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |b(x)||x^\alpha| dx < \infty, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n,\ |\alpha| \leq m.$$

Proof. Assume that b is centered in $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. According to [10, Theorem 2.9], there exits $C_0 \geq 1$ such that $|x - x_0| \leq C_0 \rho(x_0, x)^{a_4}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\rho(x_0, x) \geq 1$. Then,

$$\rho(x_0, x) \geq (|x - x_0|/C_0)^{1/a_4}, \quad \text{when } |x - x_0| \geq C_0.$$

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, with $|\alpha| \leq m$. It is clear that if $|x - x_0| \geq C_0$, then $|x^\alpha| \leq C_1 |x - x_0|^m$, for a certain $C_1 > 0$. Thus, by using the properties of the molecules we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |b(x)||x^\alpha| dx &= \left(\int_{B(x_0, C_0)} + \int_{(B(x_0, C_0))^c} \right) |b(x)||x^\alpha| dx \\
&\leq \|b\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|x^\alpha\|_{L^{q'}(B(x_0, C_0))} + C_1 \int_{(B(x_0, C_0))^c} |b(x)| \rho(x_0, x)^d \frac{|x - x_0|^m}{\rho(x_0, x)^d} dx \\
&\leq \|b\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|x^\alpha\|_{L^{q'}(B(x_0, C_0))} + C \big\| b \rho(x_0, \cdot)^d \big\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \big\| |x - x_0|^{m - d/a_4} \big\|_{L^{q'}((B(x_0, C_0))^c)} < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

because $(m - d/a_4) q' + n < 0$. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. Suppose that b is a (p,q,m,d)-molecule centered in $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $M_{p,q,m,d}(b) = 1$. We define $\gamma = \|b\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1/(1/q-1/p)}$ and consider $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which $2^{-r-1} < \gamma \leq 2^{-r}$.

According to Proposition 1.1, we take $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ (which does not depend on x_0) such that

$$\theta(x_0,t) \subset \theta(x_0,t-s), \quad t \in \mathbb{R},\, s \geq \ell, \tag{3}$$

and for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the set $E_j = \theta(x_0, r-j\ell)$ and the function $b_j = b\chi_{E_j}$.

According to [8, Lemma 2.2], if we denote by σ_t the minimum semi-axes of the ellipsoid $\theta(x_0,t)$, there exist $c, C > 0$ such that

$$\sigma_{t_1} \geq C\sigma_{t_2} 2^{c(t_2-t_1)}, \quad -\infty < t_1 \leq t_2 < +\infty.$$

Then, $\cup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} E_j = \mathbb{R}^n$. Also, by (3) we have that $E_j \subset E_{j+1}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote by $\mathscr{P}_{m,k}$ the linear space that consists of all the restrictions to E_k of polynomials in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with degree less or equal than m. It is clear that $\mathscr{P}_{m,k}$ has $\{x^\alpha \chi_{E_k}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha| \leq m\}$ as a linear basis on E_k. According to [18, Lemma 2.1] there exists a unique $P_{m,k} \in \mathscr{P}_{m,k}$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (b_k(x) - P_{m,k}(x)) x^\beta dx = 0, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\beta| \leq m.$$

We can write

$$P_{m,k} = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha|\leq m} \frac{1}{|E_k|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b_k(x) x^\alpha dx \; Q_{m,k;\alpha},$$

where, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|\alpha| \leq m$, $Q_{m,k;\alpha}$ is the unique element of $\mathscr{P}_{m,k}$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Q_{m,k;\alpha}(x) x^\beta dx = |E_k| \delta_{\alpha,\beta}, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\beta| \leq m.$$

Here, as usual, $\delta_{\alpha,\beta}$ denotes de Kronecker function.

Let $g \in L^1(B)$. We denote by $\Pi_{B,m}(g)$ the unique polynomial in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with degree less or equal than m such that

$$\int_B \Pi_{B,m}(g)(x) x^\beta dx = \int_B g(x) x^\beta dx, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\beta| \leq m.$$

We note that we can write

$$\Pi_{B,m}(g) = \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha|\leq m} \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B g(z) z^\alpha dz \; Q_\alpha,$$

where, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|\alpha| \leq m$, Q_α is a certain polynomial with degree less than or equal to m. Thus, we can find $C_0 > 0$ which depends only on m such that

$$|\Pi_{B,m}(g)(x)| \leq \frac{C_0}{|B|} \int_B |g(z)| dz, \quad x \in B. \tag{4}$$

If M is a $n \times n$-matrix and $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define $(D_M g)(x) = g(Mx)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $(\tau_z g)(x) = g(x-z)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since $E_k = x_0 + M_{x_0, r-k\ell}(B)$,

$$P_{m,k} = \chi_{E_k} (\tau_{x_0} D_{M^{-1}_{x_0,r-k\ell}} \Pi_{B,m} D_{M_{x_0,r-k\ell}} \tau_{-x_0})(b_k). \tag{5}$$

Indeed, let $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|\beta| \leq m$. We have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{E_k} (\tau_{x_0} D_{M^{-1}_{x_0,r-k\ell}} \Pi_{B,m} D_{M_{x_0,r-k\ell}} \tau_{-x_0})(b_k)(x) x^\beta dx \\
&\qquad = \int_{M_{x_0,r-k\ell}(B)} (D_{M^{-1}_{x_0,r-k\ell}} \Pi_{B,m} D_{M_{x_0,r-k\ell}} \tau_{-x_0})(b_k)(x) \tau_{-x_0}(x^\beta) dx \\
&\qquad = |E_k| \int_B (\Pi_{B,m} D_{M_{x_0,r-k\ell}} \tau_{-x_0})(b_k)(x) (D_{M_{x_0,r-k\ell}} \tau_{-x_0})(x^\beta) dx \\
&\qquad = |E_k| \int_B (D_{M_{x_0,r-k\ell}} \tau_{-x_0})(b_k)(x) (D_{M_{x_0,r-k\ell}} \tau_{-x_0})(x^\beta) dx \\
&\qquad = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} b_k(x) x^\beta dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, and by using (4) and (5), we get

$$|P_{m,k}(x)| = |(\Pi_{B,m} D_{M_{x_0,r-k\ell}} \tau_{-x_0})(b_k)(M^{-1}_{x_0,r-k\ell}(x-x_0))| \leq \frac{C_0}{|B|}\int_B |b(x_0 + M_{x_0,r-k\ell}y)|dy$$

$$= \frac{C_1}{|E_k|}\int_{E_k} |b(z)|dz, \quad x \in E_k, \tag{6}$$

where $C_1 = C_0/|B|$.

On the other hand, we can see that $P_{m,j} \longrightarrow 0$, as $j \to \infty$, in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. To prove this, using again (5), for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} P_{m,j}(x) &= (\tau_{x_0} D_{M^{-1}_{x_0,r-j\ell}} \Pi_{B,m} D_{M_{x_0,r-j\ell}} \tau_{-x_0})(b_j)(x) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha|\leq m} \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B (D_{M_{x_0,r-j\ell}}\tau_{-x_0})(b_j)(z) z^\alpha dz (\tau_{x_0} D_{M^{-1}_{x_0,r-j\ell}})(Q_\alpha)(x) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha|\leq m} \frac{1}{|B|}\int_B b_j(M_{x_0,r-j\ell}z + x_0) z^\alpha dz (\tau_{x_0} D_{M^{-1}_{x_0,r-j\ell}})(Q_\alpha)(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{|B||E_j|}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha|\leq m}\int_{E_j} b(z)(M^{-1}_{x_0,r-j\ell}(z-x_0))^\alpha dz\, Q_\alpha(M^{-1}_{x_0,r-j\ell}(x-x_0)), \quad x \in E_j. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Property $(M1)$ implies that, for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|\alpha| \leq m$,

$$\int_{E_j} b(z)(M^{-1}_{x_0,r-j\ell}(z-x_0))^\alpha dz = -\int_{E_j^c} b(z)(M^{-1}_{x_0,r-j\ell}(z-x_0))^\alpha dz, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{8}$$

Then, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, since

$$\|Q_\alpha(M^{-1}_{x_0,r-j\ell}(\cdot - x_0))\|_{L^1(E_j)} = |E_j|\|Q_\alpha\|_{L^1(B)}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha| \leq m,$$

we obtain that

$$\|P_{m,j}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \frac{1}{|B|}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,|\alpha|\leq m}\left|\int_{E_j^c} b(z)(M^{-1}_{x_0,r-j\ell}(z-x_0))^\alpha dz\right| \|Q_\alpha\|_{L^1(B)}.$$

Now, according to Proposition 2.2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |(M^{-1}_{x_0,r-j\ell}(z-x_0))^\alpha| &\leq |M^{-1}_{x_0,r-j\ell}(z-x_0)|^{|\alpha|} \leq \|M^{-1}_{x_0,r-j\ell}\|^{|\alpha|}|z-x_0|^{|\alpha|} \\ &\leq C 2^{\widetilde{\lambda}(r-j\ell)|\alpha|}|z-x_0|^{|\alpha|} \leq C|z-x_0|^{|\alpha|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha| \leq m, j \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Proposition 2.4 allows us to deduce that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\int_{E_j^c} b(z)\tau_{x_0}(D_{M^{-1}_{x_0,r-j\ell}}(z^\alpha))dz = 0,$$

and consequently,

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\|P_{m,j}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0. \tag{9}$$

Let us now consider the functions $W_{m,j}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, as follows:

$$W_{m,j} = b_j - P_{m,j}.$$

It can be seen that $W_{m,j} \longrightarrow b$, as $j \to \infty$, in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, by taking into account Proposition 2.4 and that $\cup_{j\in\mathbb{N}} E_j = \mathbb{R}^n$ and $E_j \subset E_{j+1}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we deduce that

$$\lim_{j\to+\infty}\|b_j - b\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \lim_{j\to\infty}\int_{E_j^c}|b(x)|dx = 0,$$

which jointly with (9) leads to $\lim_{j\to\infty} W_{m,j} = b$ in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Thus, we can write

$$b = W_{m,0} + \sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}}(W_{m,j+1} - W_{m,j}),$$

where the series converges in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and then also in $S'(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

It is clear that $\int W_{m,j}(x)x^\alpha dx = 0$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|\alpha| \leq m$, and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, $W_{m,j}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, has compact support. Hence, $W_{m,0}$ and $W_{m,j+1} - W_{m,j}$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, are multiple of (p,q,m)-atoms. We are going to show that

$$W_{m,0} = \lambda_0 \mathfrak{a}_0,$$

and

$$W_{m,j+1} - W_{m,j} = \lambda_j \mathfrak{a}_j, \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $\{\mathfrak{a}_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of (p,q,m)-atoms and $\{\lambda_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \subset (0,\infty)$ satisfies that $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_j^p \leq C$, for a certain $C > 0$ that does not depend on b.

Note that by (6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|W_{m,0}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq \|b\|_{L^q(E_0)} + \|P_{m,0}\|_{L^q(E_0)} \leq \|b\|_{L^q(E_0)} + C_1|E_0|^{-1/q'} \int_{E_0} |b(z)|dz \\ &\leq (1+C_1)\|b\|_{L^q(E_0)} \leq (1+C_1)\gamma^{1/q-1/p} \leq (1+C_1)2^{-(r+1)(1/q-1/p)}.\end{aligned}$$

Then, by taking into account (C1), it follows that

$$\|W_{m,0}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq (1+C_1)(2a_2)^{1/p-1/q}|\theta(x_0,r)|^{1/q-1/p}.$$

We define $\lambda_0 = (1+C_1)(2a_2)^{1/p-1/q}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_0 = \frac{1}{\lambda_0}W_{0,m}$. Thus it is clear that $\mathfrak{a}_0$ is a (p,q,m)-atom.

Let now $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We put

$$W_{m,j+1} - W_{m,j} = \chi_{E_{j+1}\setminus E_j} b - P_{m,j+1} + P_{m,j}. \tag{10}$$

Firstly we estimate $\|\chi_{E_{j+1}\setminus E_j} b\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Let $c_0 > 1$ the constant which appears in Corollary 2.3. By using (2) and taking into account that $a_6 \leq a_4$, we get that,

$$\rho(x_0,z) \geq a_1 2^{-\lambda^{-1}(2\log_2 c_0 + \widetilde{\lambda}(r-j\ell))} = a_1 \begin{cases} 2^{-(2\log_2 c_0 + a_6(r-j\ell))/a_4}, & \text{when } r-j\ell < -\dfrac{2\log_2 c_0}{a_6}, \\ 2^{-(2\log_2 c_0 + a_6(r-j\ell))/a_6}, & \text{when } -\dfrac{2\log_2 c_0}{a_6} \leq r-j\ell < 0, \\ 2^{-(2\log_2 c_0 + a_4(r-j\ell))/a_6}, & \text{when } r-j\ell \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

$$\geq C \begin{cases} 2^{-(r-j\ell)a_6/a_4}, & \text{when } r-j\ell < 0, \\ 2^{-(r-j\ell)a_4/a_6}, & \text{when } r-j\ell \geq 0, \end{cases}$$

provided that $z \in E_{j+1} \setminus E_j$. Thus, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\chi_{E_{j+1}\setminus E_j} b\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \left(\int_{E_{j+1}\setminus E_j} |b(z)\rho(x_0,z)^d|^q \frac{1}{\rho(x_0,z)^{dq}} dz\right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C\|b\rho(x_0,\cdot)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \begin{cases} 2^{d(r-j\ell)a_6/a_4}, & \text{when } r-j\ell < 0, \\ 2^{d(r-j\ell)a_4/a_6}, & \text{when } r-j\ell \geq 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Secondly we estimate $\|P_{m,j}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. By (7) and (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_{m,j}\|_{L^q(E_j)} &\leq \frac{1}{|B||E_j|^{1/q'}} \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha|\leq m} \left|\int_{E_j} b(z)(M_{x_0,r-j\ell}^{-1}(z-x_0))^\alpha dz\right| \|Q_\alpha\|_{L^q(B)} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{|E_j|^{1/q'}} \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha|\leq m} \left|\int_{E_j^c} b(z)(M_{x_0,r-j\ell}^{-1}(z-x_0))^\alpha dz\right|,\end{aligned}$$

where $C > 0$ does not depend on b nor on j.

Since $M_{x_0,r-j\ell}^{-1}(z-x_0) \notin B$, for every $z \notin E_j$, we obtain

$$\left|\int_{E_j^c} b(z)(M_{x_0,r-j\ell}^{-1}(z-x_0))^\alpha dz\right| \leq \int_{E_j^c} |b(z)||M_{x_0,r-j\ell}^{-1}(z-x_0)|^m dz, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, |\alpha| \leq m.$$

By using Proposition 2.2 it follows that

$$|M_{x_0,r-j\ell}^{-1}(z-x_0)| \leq \|M_{x_0,r-j\ell}^{-1}\||z-x_0| \leq C2^{\widetilde{\lambda}(r-j\ell)}|z-x_0|, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_{m,j}\|_{L^q(E_j)} &\le C\frac{2^{m\widetilde{\lambda}(r-j\ell)}}{|E_j|^{1/q'}}\int_{E_j^c}|b(z)||z-x_0|^m dz\\ &\le C\frac{2^{m\widetilde{\lambda}(r-j\ell)}}{|E_j|^{1/q'}}\|b\rho(x_0,\cdot)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\left(\int_{E_j^c}\frac{|z-x_0|^{mq'}}{\rho(x_0,z)^{dq'}}dz\right)^{1/q'},\\ &\le C\frac{2^{m\widetilde{\lambda}(r-j\ell)}}{|E_j|^{1/q'}}\|b\rho(x_0,\cdot)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\left(\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}}\int_{E_{j+\beta+1}\setminus E_{j+\beta}}\frac{|z-x_0|^{mq'}}{\rho(x_0,z)^{dq'}}dz\right)^{1/q'}.\end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.3 allows us to see that, for every $\beta\in\mathbb{N}$ and $z\in E_{j+\beta+1}\setminus E_{j+\beta}$,

$$\rho(x_0,z)\ge a_1 2^{-\lambda^{-1}(2\log_2 c_0+\widetilde{\lambda}(r-(j+\beta)\ell))},$$

and

$$|z-x_0|\le c_0 2^{-\lambda(r-(j+\beta+1)\ell)}.$$

Thus we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_{m,j}\|_{L^q(E_j)} &\le C\frac{2^{m\widetilde{\lambda}(r-j\ell)}}{|E_j|^{1/q'}}\|b\rho(x_0,\cdot)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\left(\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}}\frac{2^{-mq'\lambda(r-(j+\beta+1)\ell)}}{2^{-dq'\lambda^{-1}(2\log_2 c_0+\widetilde{\lambda}(r-(j+\beta)\ell))}}|E_{j+\beta+1}|\right)^{1/q'}\\ &\le C\|b\rho(x_0,\cdot)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\left(\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}}2^{mq'\widetilde{\lambda}(r-j\ell)-mq'\lambda(r-(j+\beta+1)\ell)+\beta\ell+dq'\lambda^{-1}(2\log_2 c_0+\widetilde{\lambda}(r-(j+\beta)\ell))}\right)^{1/q'}\\ &=: C\|b\rho(x_0,\cdot)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big(\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}}A_{r,j}(\beta)\Big)^{1/q'}. \qquad (12)\end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate $A_{r,j}(\beta)$, $\beta\in\mathbb{N}$. We distinguish three cases.

- Assume that $r-j\ell<-\frac{2\log_2 c_0}{a_6}$. It is clear that, for every $\beta\in\mathbb{N}$, $r-(j+\beta)\ell<-\frac{2\log_2 c_0}{a_6}$. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned}A_{r,j}(\beta) &= 2^{mq'a_6(r-j\ell)-mq'a_4(r-(j+\beta+1)\ell)+\beta\ell+\frac{dq'}{a_4}(2\log_2 c_0+a_6(r-(j+\beta)\ell))}\\ &= 2^{mq'a_4\ell+\frac{dq'}{a_4}2\log_2 c_0}2^{(r-j\ell)[mq'(a_6-a_4)+\frac{dq'a_6}{a_4}]}2^{\beta\ell(1+mq'a_4-\frac{dq'a_6}{a_4})},\quad \beta\in\mathbb{N}. \qquad (13)\end{aligned}$$

- Suppose now that $-\frac{2\log_2 c_0}{a_6}\le r-j\ell<0$ and consider $\beta_0\in\mathbb{N}$ for which

$$r-(j+\beta_0+1)\ell<\frac{-2\log_2 c_0}{a_6}\le r-(j+\beta_0)\ell. \qquad (14)$$

  If $\beta\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\beta\ge\beta_0+1$, then $A_{r,j}(\beta)$ is as in (13). In the case that $\beta\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\beta\le\beta_0$, we get

$$A_{r,j}(\beta)=2^{mq'a_4\ell+\frac{dq'}{a_6}2\log_2 c_0}2^{(r-j\ell)[mq'(a_6-a_4)+dq']}2^{\beta\ell(1+mq'a_4-dq')}.$$

  Now, by taking into account that $0<a_6\le a_4$ and $r-j\ell<0$, we deduce that

$$A_{r,j}(\beta)\le C2^{(r-j\ell)[mq'(a_6-a_4)+\frac{dq'a_6}{a_4}]}2^{\beta\ell(1+mq'a_4-\frac{dq'a_6}{a_4})},\quad \beta\in\mathbb{N}. \qquad (15)$$

  Here C does not depend on r nor on j.
- In the end let us consider $r-j\ell\ge 0$. Let $\beta_1\in\mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$r-(j+\beta_1+1)\ell<0\le r-(j+\beta_1)\ell,$$

and $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ verifying (14). Note that $\beta_1 \leq \beta_0$. We get

$$A_{r,j}(\beta) = \begin{cases} 2^{mq'a_6\ell + \frac{dq'}{a_6} 2\log_2 c_0} 2^{(r-j\ell)[mq'(a_4-a_6)+\frac{dq'a_4}{a_6}]} 2^{\beta\ell(1+mq'a_6-\frac{dq'a_4}{a_6})}, & 0 \leq \beta \leq \beta_1 - 1, \\ 2^{mq'a_4\ell + \frac{dq'}{a_6} 2\log_2 c_0} 2^{(r-j\ell)\frac{dq'a_4}{a_6}} 2^{\beta\ell(1+mq'a_4-\frac{dq'a_4}{a_6})}, & \beta = \beta_1, \\ 2^{mq'a_4\ell + \frac{dq'}{a_6} 2\log_2 c_0} 2^{(r-j\ell)dq'} 2^{\beta\ell(1+mq'a_4-dq')}, & \beta_1 + 1 \leq \beta \leq \beta_0, \\ 2^{mq'a_4\ell + \frac{dq'}{a_4} 2\log_2 c_0} 2^{(r-j\ell)\frac{dq'a_6}{a_4}} 2^{\beta\ell(1+mq'a_4-\frac{dq'a_6}{a_4})}, & \beta \geq \beta_0 + 1. \end{cases}$$

Again, since $0 < a_6 \leq a_4$, we obtain, when $r - j\ell \geq 0$,

$$A_{r,j}(\beta) \leq C 2^{(r-j\ell)[mq'(a_4-a_6)+\frac{dq'a_4}{a_6}]} 2^{\beta\ell(1+mq'a_4-\frac{dq'a_6}{a_4})}, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{16}$$

By considering (12), (15) and (16) we deduce that

$$\|P_{m,j}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|b\rho(x_0,\cdot)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left(\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}} 2^{\beta\ell(1+mq'a_4-\frac{dq'a_6}{a_4})}\right)^{1/q'} \begin{cases} 2^{(r-j\ell)[m(a_6-a_4)+\frac{da_6}{a_4}]}, & r - j\ell < 0, \\ 2^{(r-j\ell)[m(a_4-a_6)+\frac{da_4}{a_6}]}, & r - j\ell \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\leq C\|b\rho(x_0,\cdot)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \begin{cases} 2^{(r-j\ell)[m(a_6-a_4)+\frac{da_6}{a_4}]}, & \text{when } r - j\ell < 0, \\ 2^{(r-j\ell)[m(a_4-a_6)+\frac{da_4}{a_6}]}, & \text{when } r - j\ell \geq 0, \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

because $d > a_4(1 - 1/q + ma_4)/a_6$.

And finally, by taking into account (10), (11) and (17), we obtain that

$$\|W_{m,j+1} - W_{m,j}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|b\rho(x_0,\cdot)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \begin{cases} 2^{(r-j\ell)(m(a_6-a_4)+da_6/a_4)}, & \text{if } r - j\ell < 0, \\ 2^{(r-j\ell)(m(a_4-a_6)+da_4/a_6)}, & \text{if } r - j\ell \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Now, since $M_{p,q,m,d}(b) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|b\rho(x_0,\cdot)^d\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} &= \left(\|b\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{(1-\sigma)\alpha_1} + \|b\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{(1-\sigma)\alpha_2}\right)^{-1/\sigma} \\ &\leq \gamma^{\frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma}(1/p-1/q)\alpha_i} \leq 2^{-r\frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma}(1/p-1/q)\alpha_i}, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Then, when $r - j\ell < 0$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_{m,j+1} - W_{m,j}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C 2^{-r\frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma}(1/p-1/q)\alpha_1 + (r-j\ell)[m(a_6-a_4)+da_6/a_4+1/q-1/p]} |E_{j+1}|^{1/q-1/p} \\ &\leq C 2^{r[(1/q-1/p)(\frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma}\alpha_1+1)+m(a_6-a_4)+da_6/a_4]} 2^{-j\ell(m(a_6-a_4)+da_6/a_4+1/q-1/p)} |E_{j+1}|^{1/q-1/p} \\ &= C_1 2^{-j\ell(m(a_6-a_4)+da_6/a_4+1/q-1/p)} |E_{j+1}|^{1/q-1/p}, \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

because $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{d(1-\sigma)}\Big(da_6/a_4 - m(a_4 - a_6) + 1/q - 1/p\Big)$.

In the same way we obtain that, when $r - j\ell \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_{m,j+1} - W_{m,j}\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C 2^{-r\frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma}(1/p-1/q)\alpha_2 + (r-j\ell)[m(a_4-a_6)+da_4/a_6+1/q-1/p]} |E_{j+1}|^{1/q-1/p} \\ &\leq C 2^{r[(1/q-1/p)(\frac{1-\sigma}{\sigma}\alpha_2+1)+m(a_4-a_6)+da_4/a_6]} 2^{-j\ell(m(a_4-a_6)+da_4/a_6+1/q-1/p)} |E_{j+1}|^{1/q-1/p} \\ &= C_2 2^{-j\ell(m(a_4-a_6)+da_4/a_6+1/q-1/p)} |E_{j+1}|^{1/q-1/p}, \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

since $\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{d(1-\sigma)}\Big(da_4/a_6 + m(a_4 - a_6) + 1/q - 1/p\Big)$ Note that the positive constants C_1 and C_2 are independent of b.

We define λ_j as follows,

$$\lambda_j = \begin{cases} C_1 2^{-j\ell(m(a_6-a_4)+da_6/a_4+1/q-1/p)}, & \text{when } r - j\ell < 0, \\ C_2 2^{-j\ell(m(a_4-a_6)+da_4/a_6+1/q-1/p)}, & \text{when } r - j\ell \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

We can write

$$W_{j+1,m} - W_{j,m} = \lambda_j \mathfrak{a}_j,$$

where $\mathfrak{a}_j = (W_{j+1,m} - W_{j,m})/\lambda_j$. Then, $\mathfrak{a}_j$ is a (p, q, m)-atom and, since $d > a_4(m(a_4 - a_6) + 1/p - 1/q)/a_6$,

$$\sum_{j\in\mathbb{N}} \lambda_j^p \leq C,$$

where $C > 0$ does not depend on b.

The proof of the theorem is thus finished.

□

References

[1] B. Barrios and J. J. Betancor, *Anisotropic weak Hardy spaces and wavelets*, J. Funct. Spaces Appl., (2012), pp. Art. ID 809121, 17.

[2] J. J. Betancor and W. Damián, *Anisotropic local Hardy spaces*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 16 (2010), pp. 658–675.

[3] M. Bownik, *Anisotropic Hardy spaces and wavelets*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 164 (2003), pp. vi+122.

[4] ———, *Boundedness of operators on Hardy spaces via atomic decompositions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 133 (2005), pp. 3535–3542.

[5] M. Bownik, B. Li, D. Yang, and Y. Zhou, *Weighted anisotropic Hardy spaces and their applications in boundedness of sublinear operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 57 (2008), pp. 3065–3100.

[6] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Analyse harmonique non-commutative sur certains espaces homogènes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 242, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.

[7] ———, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 83 (1977), pp. 569–645.

[8] W. Dahmen, S. Dekel, and P. Petrushev, *Two-level-split decomposition of anisotropic Besov spaces*, Constr. Approx., 31 (2010), pp. 149–194.

[9] S. Dekel, *On the analysis of anisotropic smoothness*, J. Approx. Theory, 164 (2012), pp. 1143–1164.

[10] S. Dekel, P. Petrushev, and T. Weissblat, *Hardy spaces on $\mathbb{R}^n$ with pointwise variable anisotropy*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., 17 (2011), pp. 1066–1107.

[11] S. Dekel and T. Weissblat, *On dual spaces of anisotropic Hardy spaces*, Math. Nachr., 285 (2012), pp. 2078–2092.

[12] L. Grafakos, L. Liu, and D. Yang, *Maximal function characterizations of Hardy spaces on RD-spaces and their applications*, Sci. China Ser. A, 51 (2008), pp. 2253–2284.

[13] Y. Han, D. Müller, and D. Yang, *Littlewood-Paley characterizations for Hardy spaces on spaces of homogeneous type*, Math. Nachr., 279 (2006), pp. 1505–1537.

[14] G. Hu, *Littlewood-Paley characterization of weighted anisotropic Hardy spaces*, Taiwanese J. Math., 17 (2013), pp. 675–700.

[15] M.-Y. Lee and C.-C. Lin, *The molecular characterization of weighted Hardy spaces*, J. Funct. Anal., 188 (2002), pp. 442–460.

[16] X. Li and L. Peng, *The molecular characterization of weighted Hardy spaces*, Sci. China Ser. A, 44 (2001), pp. 201–211.

[17] R. A. Macías and C. Segovia, *A decomposition into atoms of distributions on spaces of homogeneous type*, Adv. in Math., 33 (1979), pp. 271–309.

[18] C. F. Serra, *Molecular characterization of Hardy-Orlicz spaces*, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina, 40 (1996), pp. 203–217.

[19] M. H. Taibleson and G. Weiss, *The molecular characterization of Hardy spaces*, in Harmonic analysis in Euclidean spaces (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Williams Coll., Williamstown, Mass., 1978), Part 1, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXV, Part, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1979, pp. 281–287.

[20] ———, *The molecular characterization of certain Hardy spaces*, in Representation theorems for Hardy spaces, vol. 77 of Astérisque, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1980, pp. 67–149.

[21] L.-A. D. Wang, *Multiplier theorems on anisotropic Hardy spaces*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2012. Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of Oregon.

[22] K. Zhao and L.-l. Li, *Molecular decomposition of weighted anisotropic Hardy spaces*, Taiwanese J. Math., 17 (2013), pp. 583–599.

[1] Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de La Laguna, Campus de Anchieta, Avda. Astrofísico Francisco Sánchez, s/n, 38271, La Laguna (Sta. Cruz de Tenerife), Spain.

E-mail address: valmeida@ull.es, jbetanco@ull.es, lrguez@ull.es